

## An elementary approach to unsmoothing over cubes

by Erich Novak\*

*Mathematisches Institut der Universität Erlangen-Nürnberg,  
Bismarckstraße 1 1/2, D-8520 Erlangen, Bundesrepublik Deutschland*

Communicated by Prof. A.C. Zaanen at the meeting of December 16, 1985

### 1. INTRODUCTION

The smoothing  $T_n f$  of a locally-integrable function  $f: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is defined by

$$(T_n f)(x) = 2^{-n} \int_{x+Q} f(y) dy, \text{ where } Q = [-1, 1]^n.$$

The problem of unsmoothing over cubes consists in the following:

- i) Characterize the kernel and the range of  $T_n$ ;
- ii) Find a right inverse  $R^*$  of  $T_n$  such that for smooth  $g$ ,  $R^*(g)$  is optimally smooth;
- iii) Analyse  $T_n$  on various subspaces of  $L_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .

Our approach to the unsmoothing problem is elementary, no Fourier analysis is used. Because the case  $n=1$  is treated in the same way in [4], we only sketch the proofs. We consider only  $n=2$ , but of course our method works for all  $n$ . (The case  $n=1$  and many other unsmoothing problems are considered by F. John [2]).

### 2. GENERAL PROPERTIES OF $T_2$

#### DEFINITION 2.1

*A function  $g: \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is called locally absolutely continuous, if  $g$  may be*

---

\* Contribution to the research project Reconstruction, Ko 506/8-1, of the German Research Council (DFG), directed by Professor D. Kölzow, Erlangen.

written in the form

$$g(x, y) = \int_0^x \int_0^y h(s, t) dt ds + \int_0^x k(s) ds + \int_0^y l(t) dt + c$$

where  $h \in L_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ ,  $k \in L_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R})$ ,  $l \in L_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R})$ , and  $c \in \mathbb{R}$ .

We shall denote by  $AC_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^2)$  the space of all locally-absolutely continuous functions on  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . The following lemma is important for our approach.

LEMMA 2.2

Let  $f, g \in L_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ . Then  $T_2 f = g$  iff the following holds:

- i)  $g \in AC_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^2)$
- ii)  $4 \frac{\partial^2 g}{\partial x \partial y}(x, y) = f(x+1, y+1) - f(x-1, y+1) - f(x+1, y-1) + f(x-1, y-1)$  a.e.
- iii)  $4 \frac{\partial g}{\partial x}(x, 0) = \int_{-1}^1 f(x+1, t) dt - \int_{-1}^1 f(x-1, t) dt$  a.e.
- iv)  $4 \frac{\partial g}{\partial y}(0, y) = \int_{-1}^1 f(s, y+1) ds - \int_{-1}^1 f(s, y-1) ds$  a.e.
- v)  $g(0, 0) = (T_2 f)(0, 0)$ .

(Line ii) needs some explanation: By  $\partial^2 g / \partial x \partial y = h$  a.e. we mean more exactly that there is a function  $d$  such that  $\partial g / \partial x(x, y) = d(x, y)$  a.e. for each fixed  $y$  and  $\partial d / \partial y(x, y) = h(x, y)$  a.e. with respect to two-dimensional measure.)

PROOF. Let  $f \in L_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ . We define

$$c := \frac{1}{4} \int_{-1}^1 \int_{-1}^1 f(s, t) dt ds,$$

$$k(x) := \frac{1}{4} \left( \int_{-1}^1 f(x+1, t) dt - \int_{-1}^1 f(x-1, t) dt \right) \text{ a.e.},$$

$$l(y) := \frac{1}{4} \left( \int_{-1}^1 f(s, y+1) ds - \int_{-1}^1 f(s, y-1) ds \right) \text{ a.e.}, \text{ and}$$

$$h(x, y) := \frac{1}{4} (f(x+1, y+1) - f(x+1, y-1) - f(x-1, y+1) + f(x-1, y-1)) \text{ a.e.}$$

Further we define  $\tilde{g}$  by:

$$\tilde{g}(x, y) = \int_0^x \int_0^y h(s, t) dt ds + \int_0^x k(s) ds + \int_0^y l(t) dt + c.$$

We have  $c \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $k \in L_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R})$ ,  $l \in L_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R})$  and  $h \in L_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^2)$  and hence  $\tilde{g} \in AC_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ . An easy calculation shows that  $\tilde{g}(x, y) = T_2 f(x, y)$  for all  $(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ . By the fundamental theorem of calculus we get for  $g = T_2 f$ :

- a)  $\frac{\partial g}{\partial x}(x, y) = \int_0^y h(x, t) dt + k(x)$  a.e. for each  $y$ ;

$$b) \frac{\partial g}{\partial y}(x, y) = \int_0^x h(s, y) ds + l(y) \text{ a.e. for each } x;$$

$$c) \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left( \int_0^y h(x, t) dt + k(x) \right)(x, y) = h(x, y) \text{ a.e.}$$

with respect to two-dimensional measure (since  $h(x, \cdot) \in L_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R})$  for almost all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ ). Hence for  $g = T_2 f$  the statements i)–iv) hold. Also  $g$  is uniquely determined by these properties.

From this, we can prove injectivity results such as:

#### COROLLARY 2.3

$T_2$  is injective on  $L_p(\mathbb{R}^2)$  for  $1 \leq p < \infty$ .

#### PROPOSITION 2.4

Let  $g \in AC_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^2)$  such that  $g(x, y) = 0$  if  $x \leq c$  or  $y \leq c$ , where  $c \in \mathbb{R}$ . Then there is a unique  $f \in L_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^2)$  such that  $T_2 f = g$  and  $f(x, y) = 0$  if  $x \leq c + 1$  or  $y \leq c + 1$ . Further,  $f$  is given by

$$f(x, y) = 4 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x \partial y} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} g(x - 2n - 1, y - 2m - 1) \text{ (a.e.)}.$$

PROOF. The uniqueness of  $f$  (a.e.) follows from lemma 2.2. Thus, it is enough to check the equation  $T_2 f = g$  for the proposed  $f$ . Of course, similar statements and reconstruction formulas hold, if  $g(x, y) = 0$  for all  $x \leq c$  or  $y \geq c$  and so on. Now we represent  $g \in AC_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^2)$  as a sum  $g = g_1 + g_2 + g_3 + g_4$ , where  $g_i \in AC_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^2)$  and  $g_1(x, y) = 0$  if  $x \leq c_1$  or  $y \leq c_1$ ,  $g_2(x, y) = 0$  if  $x \leq c_2$  or  $y \geq c_2$  and so on. Further, we can choose  $g_i \in C^k(\mathbb{R}^2)$  if  $g \in C^k(\mathbb{R}^2)$  ( $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $k \geq 2$ ). By this consideration and 2.4, the following is proved:

#### PROPOSITION 2.5

$$T_2(L_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^2)) = AC_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^2).$$

Moreover, there exists a right inverse  $R^*$  of  $T_2$  such that  $R^*(C^k(\mathbb{R}^2)) \subseteq C^{k-2}(\mathbb{R}^2)$  for  $k \geq 2$ .

### 3. SMOOTHING FOR $L_1$ -FUNCTIONS

We already know that  $T_2$  is injective on  $L_1(\mathbb{R}^2)$ . Now we want to characterize  $T_2(L_1(\mathbb{R}^2))$ . We begin with the simpler class  $L_{\text{com}}(\mathbb{R}^2) = \{f \in L_1(\mathbb{R}^2) / f \text{ has compact support}\}$ .

#### PROPOSITION 3.1

$$T_2(L_{\text{com}}(\mathbb{R}^2)) = \{g \in AC_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^2) / g \text{ has compact support, } \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} g(x, y + 2m) = H_1(x), \text{ and } \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} g(x + 2n, y) = H_2(y)\}.$$

PROOF. Let  $f \in L_{\text{com}}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ . Then  $T_2 f = g \in AC_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^2)$  with compact support. Moreover,  $\sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} g(x, y + 2m) = \frac{1}{4} \int_{x-1}^{x+1} \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(s, t) dt ds$  does not depend on  $y$  and,

similarly,  $\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} g(x+2n, y)$  does not depend on  $x$ . Conversely, let  $g \in AC_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^2)$  with these properties. Then, by proposition 2.4, there is a unique  $f_1 \in AC_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^2)$  with  $T_2 f_1 = g$  and  $f_1(x, y) = 0$  if  $x \leq c+1$  or  $y \leq c+1$  (for some  $c \in \mathbb{R}$ ) and  $f_1$  is given by the formula of 2.4. The analogs of 2.4 yield  $f_2, f_3$ , and  $f_4$  with  $T_2 f_i = g$  and  $f_i(x, y) = 0$  if  $x \leq c+1$  or  $y \geq c+1$  and so on. The respective reconstruction formulas show that  $f_1 = f_2 = f_3 = f_4$  which proves our statement.

### PROPOSITION 3.2

$$T_2(L_1(\mathbb{R}^2)) = \{g \in AC_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^2)/g, \frac{\partial g}{\partial x}, \frac{\partial g}{\partial y}, \frac{\partial^2 g}{\partial x \partial y} \in L_1(\mathbb{R}^2);$$

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\max(n,m)=k} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x \partial y} g(x-2n, y-2m) \text{ converges in } L_1^{SW}$$

(that is, outside  $x > c, y > c$  for each  $c$ ) and the sum is in  $L_1(\mathbb{R}^2)$  and the inverse is given by

$$(T_2 g)(x, y) = 4 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x \partial y} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} g(x-2n-1, y-2m-1).$$

PROOF. Easy calculations using 2.2 show that for  $f \in L_1(\mathbb{R}^2)$  the function  $g = T_2 f$  has the stated properties and the given reconstruction formula holds. Conversely, if  $g \in AC_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^2)$  has those properties, define  $f \in L_1(\mathbb{R}^2)$  by

$$f(x, y) = 4 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x \partial y} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} g(x-2n-1, y-2m-1).$$

Then  $T_2 f = g$  which proves the statement.

### REMARK 3.3

It is easy to see that  $T_2^{-1}: T_2(L_1(\mathbb{R}^2)) \rightarrow L_1(\mathbb{R}^2)$  is not continuous when both spaces have  $L_1$ -topology. In other words, the unsmoothing problem is ill-posed for these topologies. For the numerical treatment of such problems one has to apply regularization techniques (see [1]). By means of proposition 3.2 one can prove continuity and well-posedness results for  $T_2$  restricted on various subspaces of  $L_1(\mathbb{R}^2)$ .

### LITERATURE

1. Groetsch, C.W. — The theory of Tikhonov regularization for Fredholm equations of the first kind. Boston, Pitman 1984.
2. John, F. — Bestimmung einer Funktion aus ihren Integralen über gewisse Mannigfaltigkeiten. Math. Ann. **109**, 488–520 (1934).
3. John, F. — Plane waves and spherical means applied to partial differential equations. New York, Interscience 1955.
4. Novak, E. and I.K. Rana — On the unsmoothing of functions on the real line. Erlangen 1984, Proc. Kon. Ned. Akad. van Wetensch., A **89**, 201–207 (1986).